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Abstract

The reconstruction of higher-dimensional NMR spectra from projections can provide significant savings in instrument time. Here, we demonstrate its application to the (4,3)D HC(CCO)NH and intra-HC(C)NH experiments. The latter experiment contains a novel intra-residue filter element, which selectively correlates the side chain resonances with the corresponding intra-residue amide resonances. Compared to the conventional HC(C)NH experiment, the intra-HC(C)NH experiment reduces the spectral complexity and thus the minimum number of projections required for artifact-free reconstruction by half. The use of the projection–reconstruction technique allows rapid data collection and unambiguous assignment of aliphatic side chain nuclei at high resolution. © 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

A prerequisite for detailed structural analyses or dynamic studies of proteins by high resolution NMR is the assignment of backbone and side chain resonances. The introduction of 15N and 13C labeling has made it possible to assign all of the proton and carbon resonances of the aliphatic side chains by recording HCCH–TOCSY, HC(CCO)NH, or HC(C)NH experiments using 13C–13C TOCSY spin locks [1–8]. Among these experiments, the latter two are particularly attractive because they correlate side chain resonances with the more dispersed backbone HN resonances. In addition, since the HC(CCO)NH experiment correlates the sequential side chain resonances and the HC(C)NH experiment correlates both the intra- and sequential side chain resonances to the backbone amides, these two experiments can also be used to assist with sequential assignment [6,7].

Due to significant overlap of side chain resonances, recording these side chain experiments in the 4D mode is particularly attractive. In this case, the chemical shifts of the aliphatic protons and their attached carbons are recorded simultaneously, allowing further separation of the signals, and also providing a basis to analyze other spectra based on the 1H–13C correlation, such as 3D 13C-separated NOESY, 4D 1H/13C HMQC–NOE–1H/13C HMQC or 4D 1H/13C HMQC–NOE–1H/13C HSQC experiments [9–13]. The benefit of recording a conventional 4D spectrum, however, is hampered by the lengthy experimental time required to sample each of the four dimensions independently. Even with an extremely low digital resolution, the required measurement time is typically longer than 7–8 days. As a result, the 3D variants of such experiments, such as H(CCCO)NH and (H)C(CCO)NH, are usually utilized, with aliphatic proton and carbon resonances being...
recorded separately. The analysis of these 3D spectra is often complicated by signal overlap and the difficulty of assigning the correct proton resonances to their attached carbon atoms.

Recently, several groups have contributed to the development of methods utilizing simultaneous evolution of multiple dimensions to speed up data collection [14–23]. The intrinsic connection of these methodologies was initially pointed out by Coggins and collaborators [24]; their strength and weakness have recently been discussed by Kupc ˇe and Freeman in an excellent review [25]. Several algorithms have been proposed to reconstruct the original higher dimensional spectrum with a small number of projections [21,26–28]. The benefits and weaknesses of these algorithms are discussed in detail by Venters et al. [27].

With the lower-value algorithm, the number of projections needed to obtain an artifact-free spectrum can be estimated based on the number of signals in the projection space and their relative distribution [27]. Assuming that there are m well-separated peaks in the projection space, the number of projections (n) needed for an artifact-free reconstruction is determined by the following equation:

\[ n \geq m(d - p) + 1, \]

where d is the number of dimensions in the reconstruction and p is the number of dimensions on the projections. For reconstruction of 4D spectra from 3D projections, provided that the side chain signals are well resolved, one would need a maximum of 10 projections to produce an artifact-free spectrum. This estimate is based on the signal distribution of the most complicated spin system, a lysine residue, containing nine potential signals. The above analysis also indicates that the HC(C)NH experiment is not well suited for the projection–reconstruction approach as the number of side chain signals is statistically doubled compared with its counterpart, the HC(CCO)NH experiment. In addition, the presence of intra- and sequential side chain resonances increases the chance of signal overlap in the spectrum. Both of these factors would require more projections, and thus longer instrument time, to reconstruct an artifact-free spectrum. The development of experiments detecting only intra-residue correlations is ideally suited to resolve this problem [29–31]. Unfortunately, all of the previously reported intra-type experiments have been designed for magnetization transfers along an “out-and-back” pathway and cannot be applied to a “walk-through” type of experiment, such as the HC(C)NH experiment. Here, we propose an intra-residue filter element for the “walk-through” type of experiments and demonstrate its application in the intra-HC(C)NH experiment. Reconstruction of high-resolution 4D HC(CCO)NH and intra-HC(C)NH spectra from 3D projections collected within 48 h allowed complete separation of aliphatic side chain resonances, including the majority of methylene groups.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Intra-HC(C)NH

2.1.1. Pulse sequence

The proposed intra-HC(C)NH pulse sequence (Fig. 1) was developed based on the optimized HC(C)NH pulse sequence by Lin and Wagner [4] with the addition of an intra-residue filter element. This pulse sequence correlates the chemical shifts of side chain protons to their directly attached carbons, and to the backbone N and HN within the same residue. The magnetization of side chain protons is transferred to their directly attached carbon atoms using an INEPT step, which is then transferred to the Cα by a DIPSI3 mixing scheme [32]. During the intra-residue filter element, the Cα magnetization is transferred to the nitrogen within the same residue, and then transferred to the attached amide proton for detection.

\[ ^1H_{\text{aliph}}(i)[t1] \xrightarrow{1J_{HC}} ^1H_{\text{aliph}}(i)[t2] \xrightarrow{\text{DIPSI3}} ^1C(N(i)[t3] \xrightarrow{1J_{HN}} ^1HN(i)[t4]. \]

The intra-residue filter exploits the one-bond and two-bond J couplings between Cα, CO, and N (1J_{C\alpha CO}, 1J_{NC\alpha}, 2J_{NC\alpha}, and 1J_{NCO}). Starting from Cα (Fig. 1, point a), the anti-phase coherence 2Cα′COy is generated after a delay of 2Ta (point b), which is then transformed to double quantum coherence –2Cα′COy by a 90° carbonyl pulse. During the 2Ta delay (Fig. 1, b–c), the J coupling between Cα and carbonyl is no longer active, while the J coupling between carbonyl and sequential nitrogen generates the coherence 4Cα′COyNz+1 (point c). The transverse magnetization of carbonyl is flipped back to z-axis by a following 90° carbonyl pulse along y-axis, and refocused to 2Cα′Nz+1 by the coupling between Cα and CO during the second 2Ta delay (points c and d).

In addition, during the entire delay of (4Ta + 2Tc) of the intra-residue filter element between time points (a) and (d), the 1J_{NC\alpha} and 2J_{NC\alpha} couplings between Cα and the intra- and sequential nitrogen nuclei are active, which generate the coherences listed below:

\[ 2Cα′Nz+1 \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 4Cα′Nz+1 \times Nz+1 \\ Cα′ \\ -2Cα′Nz+1 \\ 2Cα′Nz+1 \end{array} \right\}. \]

The 90° Cα pulse along the y-axis and the following crush gradient (g7) remove the coherences containing the Cα′ component. The remaining two coherences...
represents the intra- and sequential correlations between Cα and nitrogen with different coefficients. In addition, the signal intensity is modulated by the passive J-coupling between Cα and Cβ. As a result, the transfer amplitudes of intra- and sequential residue coherence are modulated by:

\[
I_{\text{intra}} \propto \sin(\pi J_{\text{NCx}}(4T_a + 2T_c)) \sin(\pi J_{\text{NCx}}(4T_a + 2T_c)) \\
\sin^2(\pi J_{\text{CCO}}(2T_a)) \sin(\pi J_{\text{NCCO}}(2T_c)) \cos(\pi J_{\text{CCO}}(4T_a + 2T_c)), \\
I_{\text{seq}} \propto \cos(\pi J_{\text{NCx}}(4T_a + 2T_c)) \cos(\pi J_{\text{NCO}}(4T_a + 2T_c)) \\
\sin^2(\pi J_{\text{CCO}}(2T_a)) \sin(\pi J_{\text{NCCO}}(2T_c)) \cos(\pi J_{\text{CCO}}(4T_a + 2T_c)).
\]

Typically, \(J_{\text{CCO}}\) and \(J_{\text{NCCO}}\) are 55 and 15 Hz, respectively. To maximize the intra-residue signals, \(T_a\) is set to 4.5 ms (\(\sim 1/4\)\(J_{\text{CCO}}\)). \(T_c\) is optimized to be 18.5 ms for protein G B1 domain at 37 °C. If Cβ decoupling is implemented, a shorter delay (\(\sim 15\) ms) can be used [33]. The \(J_{\text{NCx}}\) and \(J_{\text{NCO}}\) coupling constants vary slightly depending on the local secondary structure, hydrogen bonding and other factors in the protein. For average values measured for \(J_{\text{NCA}}\) and \(J_{\text{NCCx}}\) in \(\alpha\)-helices (9.6 and 6.4 Hz, respectively), \(\beta\)-sheets (10.9 and 8.3 Hz, respectively), or random coils (10.6 and 7.5 Hz, respectively) [34], based on Eq. (4), the coefficient for intra-residue transfer (\(I_{\text{intra}}\)) is calculated to be 0.89 for \(\alpha\)-helices, 0.94 for \(\beta\)-sheets, or 0.93 for random coils; while the coefficient for sequential transfer (\(I_{\text{seq}}\)) is \(-0.04\) for \(\alpha\)-helices, \(-0.04\) for \(\beta\)-sheets, or \(-0.07\) for random coils (Fig. 2E). Thus, the relative intensities of intra-residue signals are at least 10-fold larger than their sequential counterparts. In practice, sequential connectivity is often observed.

2.1.2. Comparison with HC((C)NH) and HC((CCO)NH)

To demonstrate the performance of the intra-HC(C)NH experiment, we have collected 2D \(\text{\textsuperscript{13}C}-\text{\textsuperscript{1}H}\) orthogonal projections of the intra-HC(C)NH,
HH(CCO)NH, and HC(C)NH experiments using a 1.0 mM 15N/13C labeled protein G B1 domain, a 56-residue protein at 37°C on a Varian INOVA 600 MHz NMR spectrometer equipped with a triple-resonance cryoprobe. The NMR buffer contained 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.5, 100 mM KCl and 10% D2O. The results are shown in Figs. 2 (A–C). 1D 13C traces extracted from an isolated spin system from each experiment along the dashed lines were plotted in panel (D) of Fig. 2. The prominent feature of the intra-HC(C)NH experiment is the elimination of the resonances from the preceding aliphatic side chains, which are clearly visible in the HC(C)NH spectra. As a result, the number of observed signals in the intra-HC(C)NH experiment is statistically half of those in the HC(C)NH experiment. The resulting reduction in signal overlaps is seen clearly in the 1D traces in Fig. 2D, where the peaks marked by asterisks are overlapped resonances from E27 and K28. Compared to the HC(C)NH experiment, the relative sensitivity of the intra-HC(C)NH experiment is influenced by the transfer efficiency from 2C\alpha'N_i^2 to \(-2C\alpha'N_i^2\) modulated by \(\sin(\pi J_{NC\alpha}^2 (4T_a + 2T_c))\) and the transverse relaxation of CO and C\alpha magnetizations during the intra-residue filter element. On average, the transfer coefficient in the intra-HC(C)NH experiment is 52% larger than the corresponding coefficient from C\alpha to 2C\alpha'N_i^2 in the HC(C)NH experiment (0.92 vs. 0.60). This gain in transfer efficiency partially compensates the signal loss (<43% for small- to medium-sized proteins) caused by the carbonyl transverse relaxation during the 2Tc delay. Additionally, the sensitivity of the intra-HC(C)NH experiment...
experiment is influenced by the transverse relaxation of Cα during the 55 ms delay in the intra-residue filter element. When recorded using protein G B1 domain at 37 °C, the sensitivity of the intra-HC(C)NH is 70–80% of that of HC(C)NH experiment (Fig. 2D). This modest loss in sensitivity is largely offset by the use of a cryogenic probe and the recording of the tilted spectra in 3D mode, which have provided a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio for the reconstruction of the 4D spectra using only two scans per increment. When applied to proteins with molecular weights in the range of ~15 kDa ($\tau_c = \sim 8$ ns), as much as 69% of the signal can be lost due to the rapid T2 relaxation of Cα magnetization. Under this circumstance, the intra-HC(C)NH experiment should benefit enormously from partial deuteration, as the relaxation rate of deuterated 13Cα nuclei is approximately 7.5-fold slower than their protonated counterparts [35]. Taking into account the transfer efficiency of the relevant magnetization and the carbonyl and Cα relaxation, the overall sensitivity of the intra-HC(C)NH experiment is ~74% of the HC(C)NH experiment for medium-sized proteins (~15 kDa) with fractional deuteration. The same pulse sequence in Fig. 1 can be used in this case except that deuterium decoupling is needed wherever the 1H_aliph or 13C_aliph magnetization is in the transverse plane (before point d).

2.2. Projection–reconstruction of (4,3)D HC(CCO)NH and intra-HC(C)NH

The projection of (4,3)D HC(CCO)NH and intra-HC(C)NH experiments was achieved by co-evolving aliphatic protons and their attached carbon atoms as described [24]. Ten projections at $0^\circ$, $\pm 18^\circ$, $\pm 36^\circ$, $\pm 54^\circ$, $\pm 72^\circ$, and $90^\circ$ with respect to the 1H_aliph axis were collected, with a total instrument time of 47.5 h. Forty-eight, 32, and 512 complex points were collected for the tilted (1H_aliph/13C_aliph), 15N, and 1HN dimensions, respectively. Because projection experiments involve the simultaneous evolution of several dimensions, maintaining identical phase information for all of the co-evolving dimensions is critical for the reconstruction algorithm to function properly. This can be achieved by setting the initial delays for all of the co-evolving dimensions to zero so that no correction is needed for the constant and linear phases for the tilted dimension. A truncated hyperbolic function for the semi-constant time delay was used for the tilted (1H_aliph and 13C_aliph) dimension to avoid a negative delay caused by extremely small increments when the projection angle is close to $0^\circ$ or $90^\circ$.

The data points of the 15N dimension were doubled by mirror-image linear prediction, and the data points in the tilted dimension were extended to 128 complex points by forward–backward linear prediction [36]. A $70^\circ$ shifted sine-bell window function was applied in the directly observed HN dimension and a $90^\circ$ shifted sine-bell window function in the tilted or 15N dimension. All of the 3D data were zero-filled and Fourier transformed to yield a 256 × 128 × 512 matrix. A generalized lower-value algorithm, implemented in a program (PRCALC) to be described elsewhere, was used to reconstruct the corresponding 4D spectra from 10 3D projections without artifacts [24]. The sensitivity of the reconstructed 4D spectra is limited by the signal-to-noise ratio of individual 3D projections collected in 4.75 h. A un-

![Fig. 3. Selective 2D 1H_aliph–13C_aliph planes from 4D HC(CCO)NH (upper row) and intra-HC(C)NH (lower row) spectra reconstructed by a generalized lower-value algorithm. The excellent digital resolution allows complete separation of the methylene groups.](image-url)
ique feature of the generalized lower-value algorithm is the capability to determine the value of each point without prior knowledge of the remaining data points in the matrix. This allowed us to build specific 2D $^{1}$H$_{\text{aliph}}$-$^{13}$C$_{\text{aliph}}$ planes at high-resolution for residues of interest with the corresponding nitrogen and amide proton chemical shifts derived from the $^{1}$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectrum. Slices from 4D intra-HC(C)NH and HC(CCO)NH spectra are shown in Fig. 3, illustrating the high resolution of such spectra. Since intra-HC(C)NH provides the $^{1}$H$_{\text{aliph}}$-$^{13}$C$_{\text{aliph}}$ correlation of side chain resonances within the same residue and HC( CC O)NH provides those of the preceding residue, the reconstructed spectra for the side chain proton–carbon correlations are identical for these two experiments except that the registration with respect to N and HN is offset by one. These spectra allowed the unambiguous correlation of the complete aliphatic side chain protons and their attached carbon nuclei. Collected using approximately 1/9th of the instrument time of the corresponding 4D spectra, these projection–reconstruction spectra provided excellent digital resolution, allowing complete differentiation for the majority of the aliphatic methylene resonances.

In summary, we report the development of an intra-HC(C)NH experiment with reduced spectral complexity compared to the HC(C)NH experiment; we further demonstrate the use of projection–reconstruction HC( CC O)NH and intra-HC(C)NH experiments for rapid data collection and unambiguous correlation of side chain aliphatic resonances. These experiments should be widely applicable to small- and medium-sized proteins for which sensitivity is not a limiting factor.
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